Vol. 1 • No. 2 • December 2022

Pege (*Hal.*) : **204 – 210**

ISSN (online) : 2963-5896 ISSN (print) : 2964-0482

DOI No : -

Website: https://ojs.ideanusa.com/index.php/idea

© IDEA Nusantara

Darmaguna IDEA Nusantara Foundation Jl. Pendowo, Limo No. 69, Depok, Indonesia

Telp. 0875 8655 3215

Email: ideajournal@ideanusa.com

Licenses :



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Article info: Received: Oktober 2022; Revised: Oktober 2022; Accepted: Nov. 2022

The effect of *Total Asset Turn Over* (TATO) and *Current Ratio* (CR) on *Return On Asset* (ROA) at PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk.

Sulafifah Putri Arrohman¹; Achmad Agus Yasin Fadli²

1-2 Universitas Pamulang, sulafifahputriarrohman@gmail.com

Abstract. This study aims to determine the simultaneous and partial influence of the independent variable, namely Total Asset Turn Over (TATO) and Current Ratio (CR) on the dependent variable, namely Return On Asset (ROA). This research was conducted at PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk. The type of research used in this study was associative research. The population used in this study is the company's financial statement data in the form of balance sheet and profit and loss financial statements, and the number of samples used is the balance sheet and profit and loss financial statements for 10 years, namely 2013 to 2022. The data collection method in this study was carried out by literature or secondary studies. The data analysis techniques used are multiple regression, classical assumption test, t test, f test, and coefficient of determination. Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded that simultaneously Total Asset Turn Over (TATO) and Current Ratio (CR) have a significant effect on Return On Asset (ROA) at PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk, partially Total Asset Turn Over does not have a significant effect on Return On Asset at PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk for the 2013-2022 period.

Keywords: Total Asset Turn Over, Current Ratio, Return On Asset

A. INTRODUCTION

The capital market has an important role in improving a country's economy. With the capital market, investor as parties who have excess funds can invest their funds in securities in the capital market in the hope of getting profit. Meanwhile, companies as parties who need funds can use these funds to develop the company's operational activities, so as to improve state economic activities and the welfare of the wider community.

PT. Unilever Indonesia Tbk. not only innovates products but also carries out sustainability activities as a driving ground in every activity. So every consumer chooses one of Unilever's product, which means they improve their quality of life, their environment and the world we share.

PT. Unilever Indonesia Tbk has made various efforts to maximize the company's Return on assets (ROA), but the company's Return on assets (ROA) has fluctuated in recent year, this can be seen in the following table:

TAHUN	TATO	CR	ROA	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	
2013	230,42	69,63	40,10	
2014	242,66	71,49	41,50	
2015	231,93	65,39	37,20	
2016	239,18	60,56	38,16	
2017	217,93	63,36	37,04	
2018	214,11	74,76	46,66	
2019	207,86	65,28	35,80	
2020	209,26	66,09	34,88	
2021	207,38	60,80	30,19	
2022	225,01	61,42	29,28	

Based on the table above, it can be seen that the growth of TATO, CR, and ROA for the period 2013-2022, TATO in 2013 had a result of 230.42% and then increased from 2014 of 242.66%, decreased from 2015 of 231.93%, and increased in 2017 by 239.18%, decreased from 2017 to 2019 of 209.26%. And so is the cycle of ups and downs from year to year, and CR has a result in 2013 of 69.63% from 2014 to 2016 decreased by 60.56%, from 2017 to 2022 up and down quite significantly so that in 2021 it had a yield of 61.42%, and ROA in 2013 had a result of 40.10% from 2014 to 2022 experienced quite significant ups and downs so that in 2022 it had a value of 29.28%. So in conclusion, PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk experienced a significant state of corporate profit which can be ascertained that the company experienced unstable fluctuations in the following year.

B. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Total Asset Turn Over (TATO)

Total Assets Turn Over (TATO) is a ratio chosen to represent the activity ratio to measure the effectivenes of the total assets owned by the company in generating sales. (Kasmir, 2016) revealed "TATO is a ratio used to measure the turnover of all asset owned by the company and measure how much sales are obtained from each rupiah of assets". The formula for calculating Total Asset Turnover (TATO) is:

TATO = Penjualan Aktiva

2. Current Ratio

According to Kasmir (2018: 134), the current ratio is a ratio to measure the company's ability to pay short-term obligation or debts that are immediatel due when collected as a whole. In other word, how much current assets are available to cover shortterm liabilities that are soon due. The formula for finding the current ratio according to Kasmir (2018: 135) is as follows:

Current Ratio = Aset lancar Utang Lancar

3. Return On Assets

Return On Assets is a ratio that shows how much assets contribute to creating net income. In other words, this ratio is used to measure how much net profit will be generated from each rupiah of funds embedded in total assets (Hery, 2018: 193).

Return On Assets = Laba Bersih Total Aset

C. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In this study, associative types of research were used. Associative research is research that aims to determine the relationship between two or more variables (Sugiyono, 2017). Population according to Sugiyono (2009: 80) is a generalized area consisting of objects or subjects that have certain qualities and characteristics determined by researchers to be studied and then drawn conclusion". The population used in this study is the company's financial statement data in the form of balance sheet and profit and loss financial statements, and the number of samples used is the balance sheet and profit and loss financial statements for 10 years, namely 2013 to 2022. Sample According to (sugiyono, 2019a) Sample is a part of the whole and characteristic possessed by a population. The research instrument used is a list of tables in the form of financial statement data (balance sheet and profit and loss) at PT. Unilever Indonesia Tbk. Data collection techniques in this study are literature or secondary studies. The data analysis techniques used are multiple regression, classical assumption test, t test, f test, and coefficient of determination.

D. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1) Data Description

The following is the data obtained and processed from each variable X1 Total Assets Turnover (TATO), X2 Current Ratio (CR) and Y Return on Assets (ROA) of PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk for the 2013-2022 period which is described in the following table:

TAHUN	TATO (%)	CR (%)	ROA (%)
2013	230,42	69,63	40,10
2014	242,66	71,49	41,50
2015	231,93	65,39	37,20
2016	239,18	60,56	38,16
2017	217,93	63,36	37,04
2018	214,11	74,76	46,66
2019	207,86	65,28	35,80
2020	209,26	66,09	34,88
2021	207,38	60,80	30,19
2022	225,01	61,42	29,28

2) Test the hypothesis

Hypothesis Test is used to describe a relationship between two related variables which is a temporary assumption that need to be tested for truth about allegation in a study that has benefits in an effective and efficient research process. According to Sugiyono (2017: 63) a hypothesis is a temporary answer to the formulation of a researc problem, where the formulation of the researc problem has been stated in the form of a question sentence. There are two types of hypothesis tests that can be performed as follows:

a. Partial Test (t)

This test is by comparing the profitability value or p-value with a significance of 0.05. If the p-value is less than 0.05 then Ha is accepted, and vice versa if the p-value is greater than 0.05 then Ha is rejected. The criteria for the results of the examiner can be seen as follows:

Coefficients ^a						
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	-39,973	19,900		-2,009	,085
	TATO	,086	,073	,220	1,176	,278
	CR	,879	,201	,820	4,378	,003

a. Dependent Variable: ROA

Source: SPSS Research Results version 22 (data processed)

Hypothesis testing with profitability value or p-value symbolized by a = 0.05, with the degree

of testing is n-k-1 = 10-2-1 = 7. In this case, the ttable is

Ttable = (a/2; n-k-1) Ttable = (0.05/2; 7) Ttable = 0.025; 7

Then Ttable is 2.364.

a) Based on table above, it can be concluded that the results of the t test (partial) are as follows: The Effect of Total Assets Turnover on Return On Assets

Based on the result of the t test in table above, the calculation for Total Asset Turnover is 1.176 and the table results are 2.364 with a significance of 0.278 > 0.05. So it can be known tcalculate 1,176 < ttable 2,364, so it can be concluded that Total Assets TurnOver partially does not have a significant effect on Return On Assets.

b) Effect of Current Ratio on Return On Assets

Based on the result of the t test in table above, the calculation for the Current Ratio is 4.378 and the ttable result are 2.364 with a significance of 0.003 < 0.05. So it can be known tcalculate 4.378 < ttable 2.364, so it can be concluded that the Current Ratio partially has a significant effect on Return On Assets.

b. Simultaneous Test (F)

According to Sugiyono (2017: 183), it is used to determine the strength of the relationship between two independent variables together or more by affecting the dependent variable. In conducting simultaneous tests (F) between independent variables and dependent variables with decision making are as follows:

ANOVA ^a						
		Sum of				
Model	<u> </u>	Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	179,033	2	89,516	10,922	,007 ^b
	Residual	57,373	7	8,196		
	Total	236,406	9			
a. Dep	pendent Variable:	ROA				
b. Pre	edictors: (Constan	t), CR, TATO				

Source: SPSS Research Results version 22 (data processed)

Based on table above, it shows that Fcalculate is 10.922. Using the distribution table F with a signification level of 0.05, the degree of numerator is 2 degrees free denominator is 7. So the Ftable value is 4.74. It is known that Fcalculate 10.922 < Ftable 4.74 with a significant level of 0.007 < 0.05, it can be concluded that Total Assets Turnover and Current Ratio have a significant effect simultaneousl on Return On Assets.

E. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

- 1. Partially, the variable Total Assets Turnover does not have a significant positive effect on Return on Assets where the calculation is 1.176 < table 2.364 with a significant value of 0.278 > 0.05.
- 2. Partially, the Current Ratio has a significant effect on Return on Assets where the calculated value is 4.378 < table is 2.364 with a significant value of 0.003 < 0.05.
- 3. Total Assets Turnover and Current Ratio simultaneously have a significant effect on Return on Assets where the value of Fcalculate 10.922 < Ftable 4.74 with a significant value of 0.007 < 0.05. While the value of the coefficient of determination shows Total Assets Turnover (TATO) and Current Ratio (CR) explains the variable Return on Assets (ROA) of 68.8%, while the remaining 31.2% is explained by other variables that were not studied in this study.

Based on the conclusions above, the researcher gave some suggestions With the hope that it can be a benefit for other researchers, including:

- 1. Companies are expected to optimize more in measuring the level of efficiency of the utilization of the company's resources, if the value The greater the Total Assets Turnover (TATO), the ratio will be The better, which means that assets can rotate fasterand achieve profit, thus demonstrating more efficient use overall assets in generating sales incompany.
- 2. The company should still be able to manage lancer asset and lancer debt better. If the Current Ratio (CR) value Low is usually considered to indicat the occurrence of a problem inliquidity, and vice versa if the value of the Current Ratio (CR) is in creasingThe higher the liquidity of a company.
- 3. Further researchers are expected to add variables others, so that the results obtained can be more accurate and has a very wide scope.

REFERENCES

Algifari. (2018). Regression Analysis for Business and Economics. Yogyakarta: BPFE.

Aulia, L. L. (2021). The effect of TATO and CR on ROA in Food and Beverage Subsector Companies Listed on the IDX. Indonesia Journal Of Economics and Management, Vol 1 No 3.

Cashmere. (2013). Financial Statement Analysis. Jakarta: King Grafindo Persada.

Cashmere. (2016). Financial Statement Analysis. Jakarta: King Grafindo Persada.

Dohiya. (2020). Types of Financial Statements. Jakarta: My consultant.

Fadli, A. A. (2021). The Effect of Current Ratio (CR) and Debt To Equity Ratio (DER) on Return on Equity in Alkindo Naratama Tbk Company Listed on IDX for the 2012-2019 period. ASWAJA window, 38-52.

Fahmi, I. (2014). Financial Statement Analysis. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Ginting, W. A. (2018). Analysis of the effect of current ratio, working capital turnover, and total asset turnover on return on assets. Valid: Scientific Journal, 15(2),, 163-172.

Hakim, L., Sunardi, N. (2017). Determinant of leverage and it's implication on company value of real estate and property sector listing in IDX period of 2011-2015. Man in India, 97(24), pp. 131-148.

- Haminah, E. (2015). The Effect of Working Capital on Liquidity Level at PT Aneka Tambang Tbk (Management Thesis). South Tangerang: Pamulang University.
- Hartono. (2018). The Concept of Financial Statement Analysis with a Ratio and SPSS Approach. Yogyakarta:: CV Budi Utama.
- Hasibuan, M. S. (2005). Human Resource Management (Revised Edition). Jakarta: PT Bumi Aksara.
- Herry. (2015). Practical Preparation of Financial Statements. Jakarta: PT Grasido.
- Husain, T., & Sunardi, N. (2020). Firm's Value Prediction Based on Profitability Ratios and Dividend Policy. *Finance & Economics Review*, *2*(2), 13-26.
- Jumingan. (Jakarta). Financial Statement Analysis. 2009: Bumi Persada.
- Kadim, A., & Sunardi, N. (2022). Financial Management System (QRIS) based on UTAUT Model Approach in Jabodetabek. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 6(1).
- Kadim, A., Sunardi, N & Husain, T. (2020). The modeling firm's value based on financial ratios, intellectual capital and dividend policy. *Accounting*, 6(5), 859-870.
- Lyman, C. (2021). Understanding Financial Statements. Jakarta: PINTU.co.id.
- Munawir, S. (2010). Financial Statement Analysis, Fourth Edition. Yogyakarta: Liberty.
- Nardi Sunardi Et Al (2020). Determinants of Debt Policy and Company's Performance, International Journal of Economics and Business Administration Volume VIII Issue 4, 204-213
- Ningrum, P. N. (2021). The Effect of Current ratio, Total Asset Turnover and Net Profit Margin on Return On Assets (PT Wilmar Cahaya Indonesia, Tbk. 2010-2019). Journal of SECURITIES (Stocks, Economics, Finance and Investment), 4(3),, 147-161.
- Sunardi, N. (2022). Liquidity and Asset Growth on Telecommunications Companies Value. *Jurnal SEKURITAS (Saham, Ekonomi, Keuangan dan Investasi)*, *5*(3), 299-307.
- Sunardi, N., & Tatariyanto, F. . (2023). The Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic and Fintech Adoption on Financial Performance Moderating by Capital Adequacy . *International Journal of Islamic Business and Management Review*, *3*(1), 102–118. https://doi.org/10.54099/ijibmr.v3i1.620